PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ :		(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/26196
A61K 35/76, 39/12, 39/395, C07K 14/005, 16/08, C12N 1/21, 5/10, 15/33	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 5 October 1995 (05.10.95)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 29 March 1995 (2)		CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT
(30) Priority Data: 219,262 29 March 1994 (29.03.94)	τ	Published With international search report.
(71) Applicant: THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND CO PARK [US/US]; 4312 Knox Road, College Park, M (US).		
(72) Inventors: VAKHARIA, Vikram; 11332 Booth B Bowie, MD 20720 (US). SNYDER, David, I Hillmeade Road, Bowie, MD 20720 (US). M WHERSAT, Stephanie, A.; 6003 40th Avenue, Hy MD 20782 (US).	B.; 650 ENGE	í -
(74) Agents: KELBER, Steven, B. et al.; Oblon, Spivak, land, Maier & Neustadt, P.C., 4th floor, 1755 Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202 (US).		
		*

(54) Title: CHIMERIC INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE VIRUS cDNA CLONES, EXPRESSION PRODUCTS AND VACCINES BASED THEREON

(57) Abstract

Chimeric cDNA for the expression of immunogenic polypeptides include the genetic epitopic determinants for a base infectious bursal disease virus strain and at least one other infectious bursal disease virus strain. The genetic epitopic determinants encode amino acids or amino acid sequences which define epitopes bound to by previously established monoclonal antibodies. The immunogens expressed by the cDNA may be employed to provide a vaccine against a plurality of IBDV strains. The epitopic determinant of IBDV lethal strains has been detected, and an immunogen for conferring immunity with respect thereto is disclosed. Similarly, a monoclonal antibody specific for IBDV lethal strains is identified, and a vaccine for passive immunization therewith is also disclosed. Immunogens exhibiting conformational epitopes, in the form of virus-like particles, are effective in the preparation of vaccines.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AТ	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	ТJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

Description

Chimeric Infectious Bursal Disease Virus cDNA Clones, Expression Products and Vaccines Based Thereon

Technical Field:

The present invention provides chimeric IBDV immunogens which actively protect against virulent and lethal challenge by Classic and variant IBDV strains, and methods for obtaining vaccines containing these chimeric immunogens and vaccines.

Background Art

Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) is responsible for a highly contagious immunosuppressive disease in young chickens which causes significant losses to the poultry industry worldwide (reviewed in Kibenge (1988) "J. Gen. Virol.", 69:1757-1775). Infection of susceptible chickens with virulent IBDV strains can lead to a highly contagious immunosuppressive condition known as infectious bursal disease (IBD). Damage caused to the lymphoid follicles of the bursa of Fabricius and spleen can exacerbate infections caused by other agents and reduce a chicken's ability to respond to vaccination as well (Cosgrove (1962) "Avian Dis.", 6:385-3894.

There are two serotypes of IBDV (McFerran et al (1980) "Avian Path." 9:395-404). Serotype 1 viruses are pathogenic to chickens and differ markedly in their virulence (Winterfield et al (1978) "Avian Dis." 5:253-260), whereas serotype 2 viruses, isolated from turkeys, are avirulent for chickens (Ismail et al (1988) "Avian Dis.", 32:757-759; Kibenge (1991) "Virology" 184:437-440).

IBDV is a member of the *Birnaviridae* family and its genome consists of two segments of double-stranded RNA (<u>Dobos et al</u> (1979) "J. Virol.", 32:593-605). The smaller segment B (~2800bp) encodes VP1, the dsRNA polymerase. The larger genomic segment A (~3000bp) encodes a 110 kDa precursor polyprotein in a single open reading frame (ORF) that is processed into mature VP2, VP3 and VP4 (<u>Azad et al</u> (1985)

"Virology" 143:35-44). From a small ORF partly overlapping with the polyprotein ORF, segment A can also encode VP5, a 17 Kda protein of unknown function (<u>Kibenge et al</u> (1991) "J. Gen. Virol.", 71:569-577).

While VP2 and VP3 are the major structural proteins of the virion, VP2 is the major host-protective immunogen and causes induction of neutralizing antibodies (Becht et al (1988) "J. Gen. Virol." 69:631-640; Fahey et al (1989) "J. Gen. Virol.", 70:1473-1481). VP3 is considered to be a group-specific antigen because it is recognized by monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) directed against VP3 from strains of both serotype 1 and 2 (Becht et al (1988) "J. Gen. Virol.", 69:631-640). VP4 is a virus-coded protease and is involved in the processing of the precursor protein (Jagadish et al (1988) "J. Virol.", 62: 1084-1087).

In the past, control of IBDV infection in young chickens has been achieved by live vaccination with avirulent strains, or principally by the transfer of maternal antibody induced by the administration of live and killed IBDV vaccines to breeder hens. Unfortunately, in recent years, virulent variant strains of IBDV have been isolated from vaccinated flocks in the United States (Snyder et al (1988b) "Avian Dis.", 32:535-539; Van der Marel et al (1990) "Dtsch. Tierarztl. Wschr.", 97:81-83). The use of a select panel of Mabs, raised against various strains of IBDV, has led to the identification of naturally occurring GLS, DS326, RS593 and Delaware variant viruses in the United States. Substantial economic losses have been sustained due to the emergence of these antigenic variants (Delaware and GLS) in the field (Snyder et al (1992) "Arch. Virol.", 127:89-101), copending U.S. Application Serial No. 08/216,841, filed March 24, 1994, Attorney Docket No. 2747-053-27, Snyder, copending herewith). These variant strains are antigenically different from the Classic strains of IBDV most typically isolated before 1985, and lack epitope(s) defined by neutralizing monoclonal antibodies

(Mabs) B69 and R63 (Snyder et al (1988a) "Avian Dis.", 32:527-534; Snyder et al (1998b) "Avian Dis.", 32:535-539; Snyder et al (1992) "Arch. Virol.", 127:89-101). Since the appearance of these variant strains in the field, many commercially available live and killed vaccines for IBDV have been reformulated in an attempt to better match the greater antigenic spectrum of viruses recognized to be circulating in the field.

been made, and the genome of IBDV has been cloned (Azad et al (1985) "Virology", 143:35-44). The VP2 gene of IBDV has been cloned and expressed in yeast (Macreadie et al (1990) "Vaccine", 8:549-552), as well as in a recombinant fowlpox virus (Bayliss et al (1991) "Arch. Virol.", 120:193-205). When chickens were immunized with the VP2 antigen expressed from yeast, antisera afforded passive protection in chickens against IBDV infection. When used in active immunization studies, the fowlpox virus-vectored VP2 antigen afforded protection against mortality, but not against damage to the bursa of Fabricius.

Recently, the synthesis of VP2, VP3 and VP4 structural proteins of the variant GLS IBDV strain in a baculovirus expression system has been described (Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). In an initial two dose active immunity study in SPF chickens, baculovirus expressed GLS proteins were able to confer 79% protection against virulent GLS challenge (Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). In a subsequent extended study of active cross-immunity, by increasing the antigenic mass of the baculovirus expressed GLS protein, complete protection against the variant GLS and E/Del strains was obtained with a single dose, but only partial protection was afforded against the Classic STC strain unless two doses were administered.

In recent years, the complete, nucleotide sequences of the large segment A of five serotype 1 IBDV strains; 002-73 (<u>Hudson et al</u> (1986) "Nucleic Acids Res." 14:001-5012), Cu-1, PBG98, 52/70 (<u>Bayliss et al</u> (1990) "J. Gen. Virol.", 71:1303-1312), STC (<u>Kibenge</u> (1990) "J. Gen. Virol.", 71:569-577), and serotype 2 OH strain (<u>Kibenge</u> (1991) "Virology", 184:437-440) have been determined. In addition, the VP2 gene of virulent Japanese IBDV strains (<u>Lin et al</u> (1993) "Avian Dis.", 37:315-323) and Delaware variants A and E (<u>Lana et al</u> (1992) "Virus Genes" 6:247-259; <u>Heine et al</u> (1991) "J. Gen. Virol.", 22:1835-1843) has been sequenced. However, noone has completely cloned and characterized the entire long segment of any United States IBDV variant.

Disclosure of the Invention

Inventors have now identified the region of the IBDV genome which is responsible for antigenic variation. A DNA sequence containing the central variable region of VP2 protein, as well as a plasmid incorporating the same, have been constructed. This DNA sequence can be manipulated to generate desired virus neutralizing epitopes or immunogenic polypeptides of any IBDV strain. In turn, these immunogenic segments can be incorporated into new recombinant IBDV vaccines.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 illustrates the construction of various chimeric plasmids encoding IBDV-specific polyproteins. A map of the IBDV genome with its coding regions is shown at the top of the Figure. Selected restriction sites are incorporated in the Figure: B, BamHI; E, BstEII; N, NdeI; R, NarI; S, SpeI. Dashes indicated the substitution of the D78 sequence (NdeI-NarI fragment) into the GLS sequence to restore the B69 epitope region. Solid line and dotted line indicate the substitution of the E/De1-22 and DS326 sequences, respectively, into the GLS sequence to restore the B63 epitope region or to delete the 179 epitope region, respectively.

Figure 2 is electron micrographs of IBDV virus-like particles (|---|) = 100nm). A. Actual empty particles (without RNA) from purified virus. B. Virus-like particles (empty capsids) derived from a recombinant baculovirus expressing the large genome segment of IBDV in insect cells

Figure 3 is a comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences of the structural proteins (VP2, VP3 and VP4) of ten IBDV strains. Dashes (-) indicate amino acid identity and crosses (x) denote a region where the sequence was not determined. Filled bar (1) indicates a gap in the sequence and vertical arrowheads (1) mark the possible cleavage sites of VP2/VP4 and VP4/VP3. The two hydrophilic peaks in the variable region are overlined.

Figure 4 is a phylogenetic tree for the IBDV structural proteins using the PAUP (phylogenetic analysis using parsimony) version 3.0 program (Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois).

Figure 5 reflects the DNA and amino acid sequence for the GLS virus structural protein fragment VP2/VP4/VP3. A vertical line indicates the start/stop points for the VP2, VP4 and VP3 regions.

Figure 6 reflects the DNA and amino acid sequence for the E/Del 22 virus structural protein fragment VP2/VP4/VP3.

Figure 7 is a table of the amino acid identities for key locations (epitopic determinants) of eight different IBDV.

Definitions:

IBD - infectious bursal disease as described above.

<u>IBDV</u> - infectious bursal disease virus, a virus capable of, at a minimum, inducing lesions in the bursa of *Fabricius* in infected poultry.

<u>Fpitopic Determinants</u> - amino acids or amino acid sequences which correspond to epitopes recognized by one or more monoclonal antibodies. Presence of the amino acid or amino acid sequence at the proper ORF location causes the

polypeptide to exhibit the corresponding epitope. An epitopic determinant is identified by amino acid(s) identity and sequence location.

Genetic Epitopic Determinants - nucleotide sequences of the ORF which encode epitopic determinants.

Conformational Epitopes - epitopes induced, in part or in whole, by the quaternary (three-dimensional) structure of an IBDV polypeptide. Conformational epitopes may strengthen binding between an IBDV and a monoclonal antibody, or induce binding whereas the same sequence, lacking the conformational epitope, would not induce binding between the antibody and the IBDV polypeptide at all.

<u>Virus-Like Particles</u> - three-dimensional particles of natural or recombinant amino acid sequences mimicking the three-dimensional structure of IBDV (encoded by the large genome segment A) but lacking viral RNA. Virus-like particles exhibit conformational epitopes exhibited by native viruses of similar sequence. Virus-like particles are created by the proper expression of DNA encoding VP2, VP4, VP3 structural proteins in a proper ORF.

Epitopic Determinant Region - Limited region of amino acid sequence of VP2 of IBDV that is replete with epitopic determinants, variation among amino acids of this limited region giving rise to a high number of epitopes recognized by different monoclonal antibodies.

Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention

Recombinant, immunogenic polypeptides exhibiting the epitopes of two or more native IBDV, as well as recombinant virus-like particles exhibiting the epitopes of two or more native IBDV and conformational epitopes are effective immunogens for vaccines which can be used to confer protection against a wide variety of IBDV challenge in inoculated poultry. The recombinant polypeptides and virus-like particles are obtained by the expression of chimeric DNA

prepared by the insertion, in the VP2 region of a base IBDV, of epitopic determinants for at least a second IBDV. most easily done by substitution of the genetic epitopic determinants for the amino acids identities and locations reflected in Figure 7. Thus, where the epitopic determinant of the second IBDV differs from that of the base IBDV, the genetic epitopic determinant for the differing second IBDV is inserted in place of the genetic epitopic determinant at that location of the base IBDV. An example, combining epitopic determinants from the D78, E/Del 22 and DS326 IBDV into the base GLS IBDV is set forth in Figure 1. Thus, one DNA sequence can be prepared with genetic epitopic determinants for a plurality of native IBDV. These recombinant plasmids can be inserted into a variety of packaging/expression vector, including baculovirus, fowlpox virus, Herpes virus of turkeys, adenovirus and similar transfection vectors. The vectors can be used to infect conventional expression cells, such as SF9 cells, chicken embryo fibroblast cell lines, chicken embryo kidney cells, vero cells and similar expression vehicles. Methods of transfection, and methods of expression, as well as plasmid insertion into transfection vehicles, are well known and do not constitute an aspect of the invention, per se.

The expression of the chimeric cDNA of the invention generate immunogenic polypeptides which reflect epitopes of a plurality of native IBDV, and the expression of a recombinant VP2, VP4, VP3 cDNA segment, with the VP2 region again comprising genetic epitopic determinants for at least two native IBDV give rise to immunogenic virus-like particles.

The immunogenic polypeptides and virus-like particles can be harvested using conventional techniques (<u>Dobos et al</u>, "J. Virol.", 32:593-605 (1979)). The polypeptides and virus-like particles can be used to prepare vaccines which will confer protection on inoculated poultry, in particular, chickens, and in a preferred embodiment, broiler chickens, protection against challenge from each IBDV bearing an epitope reflected

in the plurality of epitopic determinants present in the inoculum. Thus, a single immunogen gives rise to immunity against a variety of IBDV, each IBDV whose genetic epitopic determinant has been incorporated in the chimeric cDNA.

The administration of the vaccines can be effectively done according to well-established procedures. In U.S. Patent 5,064,646, which is incorporated herein by reference, methods are described for the effective inoculation of chicks based on the then novel isolation of GLS IBDV. Similar administration and dosage regimens can be employed herein. Since the polypeptides and virus-like particles lack viral RNA, they are The vaccines may therefor be prepared by simple avirulent. incorporation of the immunogenic polypeptides and virus-like particles in a pharmaceutical carrier, typically a suspension or mixture. Appropriate dosage values are best determined through routine trial and error techniques, given the different antibody titers induced and/or the quantity of different epitopes present which will induce complete crossimmunity to virulent challenge. In general, pharmacologically acceptable carriers such as a phosphate buffered saline, cell culture medium, Marek's virus vaccine diluent oil adjuvants and other adjuvants, etc., can be used. Administration is preferably done to hens entering egg laying periods which provides induction of antibody which is passively transferred through the egg to the chick to prevent early invention by virulent field strength IBDV. Conversely, the recombinant vaccine may be delivered in a replicating vector at any time in a chicken's life span, preferably at one day of age. Experience has demonstrated that, generally, that the level of protection may be improved by a second inoculation.

This invention may be further understood by reference to the specific examples set forth below.

-9-

Examples:

Background Methodology

To determine the molecular basis of antigenic variation in IBDV, the genomic segment A of four IBDV strains: GLS, DS326, Delaware variant E (E/Del) and D78 was cloned and characterized by sequencing. By comparing the deduced amino acid sequences of these strains with other serotype 1 and 2 sequences published previously, the putative amino acid residues involved in the binding with various neutralizing Mabs were identified, and the phylogenetic relationship of IBDV structural proteins was examined.

GLS, DS326 and STC strains of IBDV were propagated in the bursa of specific-pathogen-free chickens (SPAFAS, Inc., Norwich, CT, USA). Tissue culture adapted E/Del-22, D78 and OH (serotype 2) strains of IBDV were propagated in primary chicken embryo fibroblast cells derived from 10-day-old embryonated eggs (SPAFAS, Inc.) and purified as described (Snyder et al (1988a) "Avian Dis.", 32:527-534). The Mabs against various strains of IBDV were produced and characterized using protocols previously outlined (Snyder et al (1988a) "Avian Dis." 32:527-534; Snyder et al (1988b) "Avian Dis.", 32:535-539). Mabs B69 and R63 were prepared against D78 strain, whereas Mabs 8, 10, 57 and 179 were prepared against GLS strain. In addition, a new Mab 67 was prepared which was neutralizing and specific for the E/Del Identification of IBDV antigens by modified antigen capture ELISA (AC-ELISA) was carried out as described (Snyder et al (1992) "Arch. Virol.", 127:89-101).

Various strains of IBDV were characterized by their reactivities with a panel of neutralizing Nabs, as shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Antigenic characterization of various IBDV strains by their reactivities with a panel of neutralizing MAbs

				Reactivities with MAbs	ties wi	th Mab			
Virus Strains	Classification	B69	R63	179	8	10	57	67	
D78	Classic	+	+	+	+	+	1	t	
PBG98	Classic	i	+	+	+	+	ı	ı	
STC	Classic	+	+	+	+	+	ı	ı	
52/70	Classic	+	+	+	+	1	ı	ı	
OH (serotype 2)	Classic	+	+	+	+	ı	1	ı	
E/Del	Variant	i	+	+	+	ı	ı	+	
GLS	Variant	t	ı	+	+	+	+	1	
DS326	Variant	ı	ı	ı	+	+	+	1	

All standard serotype 1 viruses reacted with Mabs B69, R63, 179 and 8, except PBG98 (a British vaccine strain, Intervet, U. K.) which did not react with Mab B69. In contrast, all the U.S. variant viruses lack the virus-neutralizing B69 epitope. In addition, GLS and DS326 variants lack an R63 epitope but share a common epitope defined by the Mab 57. Thus, on the basis of the reactivities with various Mabs, these viruses were antigenically grouped as classic, GLS, DS326 and E/Del variants.

Complementary DNA clones, containing the entire coding region of the large RNA segment of various IBDV strains, were prepared using standard cloning procedures and methods previously described (Vakharia et al (1992) "Avian Dis.", 36:736-742; Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). The complete nucleotide sequence of these cDNA clones was determined by the dideoxy method using a Sequenase DNA sequencing kit (U.S. Biochem. Corp., Columbus, OH). DNA sequences and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed by a PC/GENE software package (Intelligenetics, Inc.). These are reflected in Figures 5 and 6. The nucleotide sequence data of the GLS strain has been deposited with GenBank Data Libraries and has been assigned an accession number M97346.

Comparisons of the nucleotide sequence of GLS strain (3230 bp long) with eight serotype 1 and one serotype 2 IBDV strains exhibit ≥ 92% and ≥ 82% sequence homology, respectively; indicating that these viruses are closely related. It is interesting to find that there are only six to nine base substitutions between D78, PBG98, and Cu1 strains which corresponds to a difference of about 0.2% to 0.3% (results not shown). Figure 3 and Table 2 show a comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences and percent homology of the large ORF of segment A of the ten IBDV strains, including four IBDV strains used in this study.

ercent (amino ac	id seque	nce homo	logy of	large (ORF of se	egment A	of ten	Percent amino acid sequence homology of large ORF of segment A of ten IBDV strains	ains
			1			0	0 1	i c	22 23	ä
Strain	GLS	DS326	E/Del	D78	Cu-1	PBG98	27/10	SIC	002=13	5
GLS										
DS326	98.7									
E/Del	98.4	98.3								
D78	98.5	98.1	97.9							
Cu-1	98.6	98.2	0.86	9.66						
PBG98	98.5	98.1	97.9	99.5	99.5					
52/70	98.1	98.1	97.9	98.4	98.5	98.3				
STC	7.76	98.0	97.5	98.4	98.5	98.3	98.3			
002-73	97.0	97.1	7.96	97.6	7.76	91.6	97.3	97.4		
Ю	90.0	90.0	89.7	90.2	90.3	90.2	89.8	90.3	90.1	

These comparisons show that the proteins are highly conserved. The degree of difference in the amino acid sequence ranges from 0.4% for the D78 versus Cu-1 comparison and 10.3% for the serotype 1 (E/Del) versus serotype 2 (OH) comparison (Table 2).

In Figure 3, alignments of the deduced amino acid sequences of the large ORF (1012 residues) of ten IBDV strains (including four used in this study) show that most of the amino acid changes occur in the central variable region between residues 213 and 332 of VP2 protein, as shown earlier by <u>Bayliss</u> et al (1990) "J. Gen. Virol. M, 71:1303-1312. is interesting to note that all the U.S. variants (GLS, DS326 and E/Del) differ from the other strains in the two hydrophilic regions which are overlined in Figure 3 (residues 212 to 223 and residues 314 to 324). These two hydrophilic regions have been shown to be important in the binding of neutralizing Mabs and hence may be involved in the formation of a virus-neutralizing epitope (Heine et al (1991) "J. Gen. Virol.", 22:1835-1843). Recently, we demonstrated that the conformation dependent Mabs B69, R63, 8, 179, 10, and 57 (see Table 2) immunoprecipitate VP2 protein (Snyder et al (1992) "Arch. Virol.", 127:89-101). In addition, E/Del specific Mab 67 also binds to VP2 protein. Therefore, to identify the amino acids involved in the formation of virus-neutralizing epitopes, and hence the antigenic variation, we compared the amino acid sequences of VP2 protein of classic and variant viruses.

Comparison of the D78 sequence with the PBG98 sequence shows only four amino acid substitutions at positions 76, 249, 280 and 326. However, STC and 52/70 strains also differ from the D78 sequence at positions 76, 280 and 326 but these viruses do bind to Mab B69. This implies that Gln at position 249 (Gln249) may be involved in the binding with Mab B69. It should be noted that all U.S. variant viruses have a Gln→Lys substitution at this position and hence escape the binding

with neutralizing Mab B69. Similarly, comparison of the GLS sequence with the DS326 sequence in the variable region shows six amino acid substitutions at positions 222, 253, 269, 274, 311 and 320. However, other strains of IBDV that do bind to Mab 179 have amino acid substitutions at positions 222, 253, 269 and 274 that are conservative in nature. Therefore, this suggests that Glu311 and Gln320 may be involved in the binding with Mab 179. Again, comparison of GLS and DS326 sequences with all other IBDV sequences shows a unique Ala-Glu substitution at position 321, suggesting the contribution of this residue in the binding with Mab 57. Since Mab 57 does not compete with Mab R63, it is conceivable that Ala321 may contribute to the binding with Mab R63. Similarly, comparison of E/Del sequence with other sequences shows five unique substitutions at positions 213, 286, 309, 318 and 323. However, comparison of this E/Del sequence (from tissue culture derived virus) with previously published VP2 A/Del and E/Del sequences (bursa derived virus) suggests the involvement of Ile286, Asp318 and Glu323 in the binding with Mab 67 since residues at positions 213 and 309 are not substituted in A/Del and E/Del sequences, respectively (Heine et al (1991) "J. Gen. Virol.", 22:1835-1843; <u>Lana et al</u> (1992) "Virus Genes", 6:247-259; <u>Vakharia et al</u> (1992) "Avian Dis.", 36:736-742).

Comparisons of the amino acid sequence also show a striking difference between serotype 1 and serotype 2 sequences. In serotype 2 OH strain, there is an insertion of an amino acid residue at position 249 (serine) and a deletion of a residue at position 680. Previously, it has been shown that serotype 2 viruses are naturally avirulent and do not cause any pathological lesions in chickens (Ismail et al (1988) "Avian Dis.", 32:757-759). Thus, these subtle changes in the structural proteins of serotype 2 OH strain may play an important role in the pathogenicity of the virus. Moreover, it has been hypothesized that an amino acid sequence motif, S-W-S-A-S-G-S, (residues 326 to 332) is conserved only in

virulent strains and could be involved in virulence (Heine et al (1991) "J. Gen. Virol.", 22:1835-1843). This sequence motif was also conserved in various pathogenic strains of IBDV isolated in Japan (<u>Lin et al</u> (1993) "Avian Dis.", 37:315-323). comparison of the amino acid sequences in this heptapeptide region reveals that nonpathogenic serotype 2 OH strain has three substitutions, whereas mildly pathogenic strains of serotype 1 (D78, Cu-1, PBG98 and 002-73) have one or two substitutions in this region. Moreover, comparison of the hydrophilicity plots of the variable region (amino acids 213 to 332) of variant serotype 1 strains and serotype 2 OH strain indicates a drastic reduction in the second hydrophilic peak region (amino acid residues 314 to 324) for serotype 2 (results not shown). Since most of the amino acid residues causing antigenic variation reside in this region, these residues may play an important role in the formation of virusneutralizing epitopes, as well as serotype specificity.

To evaluate the antigenic relatedness of structural proteins of various IBDV strains, a phylogenetic tree was constructed, based on the large ORF sequences of ten IBDV strains, including the U.S. variant strains examined in this study (Figure 4). Three distinguishable lineages were formed. The first one, which is most distant from the others, is serotype 2 OH strain, and the second one is the geographically distant Australian serotype 1 strain (002-73). The third lineage consists of four distinct groups. The first and second group include highly pathogenic strains, namely, standard challenge (STC) strain from U. S. and the British field strain (52/70). The third group comprises all the European strains: the vaccine strains D78 (Holland), PBG98 (U.K.), and mildly pathogenic strain Cu-1 (Germany). fourth group consists of the U.S. variant strains in which The groups formed by the E/Del forms a different subgroup. phylogenetic analysis correlate very well with the Mabs reactivity patterns (see Table 1). As shown in Figure 4, all

the U.S. variant viruses which lack the B69 epitope form a distinct group, whereas all the classic viruses containing a B69 epitope form another group (except PBG98). In addition, closely related GLS and DS326 strains containing a common Mab 57 epitope and lacking an R63 epitope could be separated from the other variant E/Del strain.

Based on this information, a recombinant vaccine was constructed as follows:

Construction of recombinant baculovirus clones containing chimeric IBDV genes

A recombinant baculovirus which expresses a chimeric VP2, VP3 and VP4 structural proteins of the GLS strain was constructed and assessed. The recombinant baculovirus expressed a chimeric VP2 protein incorporating all Mab defined GLS neutralization sites, as well as one neutralization site (B69) which is specific for Classic strains of IBDV in the form of a VP2-VP4-VP3 segment.

Complementary DNA clones, containing the entire coding region of the large RNA segment of the GLS and D78 IBDV strains, were prepared using standard cloning procedures and methods previously described (Vakharia et al (1992) "Avian Dis.", 36:736-742; Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). To insert the gene sequence encoding the B69 epitope of the D78 IBDV strain, plasmid pB69GLS was constructed as follows (see Figure 1). Full-length cDNA clones of D78 and GLS (plasmids pD78 and pGLS-5) were digested with NdeI-NarI and NarI-SpeI enzymes to release a NdeI-NarI (0.26 kb) and a NarI-SpeI (0.28 kb) fragments, respectively. These two fragments were then ligated into the NdeI-SpeI cut plasmid pGLS-5 to obtain a chimeric plasmid pB69GLS. result of this insertion, three amino acids were substituted in the GLS VP2 protein. These substitutions were at positions 222 (Thr-Pro), 249 (Lys-Gln) and 254 (Ser-Gly) in the variable region of the VP2 protein (Vakharia et al (1992) "Avian Dis.",

36:736-742). To insert the chimeric IBDV structural genes in the Baculovirus genome, plasmid pB69GLS was completely digested with BstEII enzyme and partially with the BamHI enzyme, combined with the NheI-BstEII fragment (derived from plasmid pGLSBacI, see Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206) and then ligated to the NheI-BamHI cut transfer vector pBlueBacII (Invitrogen Corp., San Diego, CA). Finally, recombinant baculovirus I-7 was obtained using previously described procedures (Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). See Table 3.

Preparation of an inoculum for immunization

Spodoptera frugiperda SF9 cells, infected at a multiplicity of 5 PFU per cell with the I-7 recombinant baculovirus, were propagated as suspension cultures in one liter flasks containing Hink's TNM-FH medium (JHR Biosciences, Lenxa, KS) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum at 28°C for 3 to 4 days. The infected cells were recovered by low speed centrifugation, washed two times with PBS, and resuspended in a minimum volume of PBS. The cell slurry was sonicated on and ice bath three times for 1 min, with 2 min intervals and clarified by low speed centrifugation. An aliquot of each cell lysate was tested with anti-IBDV Mabs by AC-ELISA to estimate the antigenic mass present (Snyder et al (1998b) "Avian Dis.", 32:535-539). Preparations having the highest antigenic mass were pooled and comparatively titrated in AC-ELISA against the V-IBDV-7-1 recombinant baculovirus IBDV vaccine used in a previous study (Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). The antigenic mass of the I-7 recombinant preparation, as determined by AC-ELISA with group specific neutralizing Mab 8, was adjusted by dilution to be the same as the V-IBDV-7-1 vaccine, and then it was emulsified with an equal volume of Freund's incomplete adjuvant and used for inoculation.

Viruses

The challenge viruses: Classic strains IM and STC, and variant strains E/Del and GLS-5 were obtained from previously acknowledged sources (Snyder et al (1988a) "Avian Dis.", 32:527-534; Snyder et al (1992) "Arch. Virol.", 127:89-101). After intraocular instillation, challenge viruses were titrated in the bursae of specific-pathogen-free (SPF) chickens (SPAFAS, Inc., Storrs, Conn.). For strains STC, E/Del and GLS-5, a 100 chick infective fifty percent dose (100 CID₅₀) was determined based on bursa to body weight measurements. One hundred lethal doses (100 LD) of the IM strain were calculated based on mortality at 8 days postinoculation (PI).

Chicken inoculations and IBDV challenge

White leghorn SPF chickens were hatched and reared in HEPA filtered isolation units (Monair Anderson, Peachtree City, GA). Eight-week old chickens were prebled, individually wing banded, divided among 10 groups of 15 chicks each and treated as follows. Chickens of groups I-V received no inoculations and served as either negative or positive challenge controls. Chickens of group V-X were inoculated intramuscularly with 0.5 ml of the 1-7 inoculum prepared above from recombinant Baculovirus infected cell lysates. At 3 weeks PI, all chickens were bled and chickens of groups II-IX were challenged with the appropriate IBDV challenge strain by ocular instillation. Four days post-challenge, 5 chickens from each group were humanely sacrificed and their cloacal bursa were removed. Each bursa was processed and subsequently evaluated for the presence of IBDV antigen by AC-ELISA as described (Snyder et al (1998b) "Avian Dis.", 32:535-539). addition, chickens in the IM challenged groups were scored as dead, and humanely sacrificed when they became obviously moribund due to IM challenge. Eight days post-infection, the remaining chickens in all groups were sacrificed and weighed.

The bursa of Fabricius from each chicken was carefully excised and also weighed. Bursa weight to body weight ratio was calculated for each chicken as described by Lucio and Hitchner (Lucio et al (1979) "Avian Dis.", 23:466-478). Any value for individually challenged chickens falling plus or minus two standard deviation units from the mean of the corresponding control group was scored as a positive indicator of IBDV infection. Opened bursae were fixed by immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Transverse portions of bursae were processed through graded alcohols and xylene, embedded into paraffin, sectioned, stained with hematoxylin-eosin, and examined with a light microscope. Protection against challenge was defined as the absence of any IBDV-induced lesions in the bursa of Fabricius.

Serological evaluation

The Classic D78 strain, as well as the cell culture adapted variant GLS strain of IBDV were grown in primary chicken embryo fibroblast cells and used in virus neutralization (VN) tests to test sera from the vaccine trial essentially as described (Snyder et al (1988a) "Avian Dis.", 32:527-534). Serum from the trials was also tested for the presence of anti-IBDV antibody using a commercially available IBDV antibody ELISA kit (Kirkegaard and Perry, Gaithersburg, MD).

Evaluation of vaccines and challenge viruses

The antigenic content of the I-7 GLS chimeric IBDV vaccine was assessed in AC-ELISA with a panel of VP2 and VP3 specific Mabs. The relative antigenic mass of each epitope expressed in the I-7 vaccine was compared to previously tested lots of *Baculovirus* expressed unmodified GLS subunit vaccines (<u>Vakharia et al</u> (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-1206). The status of each Mab defined epitope on the I-7 chimeric vaccine was also compared to the status of those Mab defined epitopes

WO 95/26196

occurring on wild type IBDV challenge viruses used to evaluate the efficacy of the I-7 vaccine. Table 3 shows that antigenic mass levels at the 8, 57, and B29 epitopes for the current I-7 chimeric vaccine were similar to a recently tested unmodified V-IBDV-7-1 GLS subunit vaccine, but approximately 4-fold higher than the original unmodified V-IBDV-7 vaccine.

TABLE 3

Comparative levels of IBDV, VP2, and VP3 monoclonal antibody (Mab) defined epitopes of recombinant baculovirus expressing IBDV proteins and status of Mab defined epitopes on challenge viruses used.

	1	Relative level		of Mab epitope ^A	e,	Challenge		Statu	Status of Mab epitope ^B	pitope ^B	
Vaccine	8	57		67	B29	Virus	₂ 8	57 ^c	B69 ^c	67 ^c	B29 ^D
V-TBD-78	-	1	0	0	1	GLS	+	+	1	1	+
V-TBD-7-18	6	3	0	0	2	STC	+	-	+	1	+
T-7F	0 6	3	6	0	2	IM	+	ı	+	1	+
1						E/Del	+	1	-	+	+

The relative level of each Mab epitope was determined by AC-ELISA, and the level of each Mab epitope was set to 1 for the V-IBD-7 vaccine previously used (15). Maximum level is 9. Each 1.0 increment represents approximately twice the amount of the epitope present in the original V-IBD-7 vaccine. The V-IBD-7-1 vaccine was also previously reported (16).

The status of Mab epitopes was determined by AC-ELISA and is presented as present (+) or absent (-).

Neutralizing Mab epitope resides on VP2 of IBDV.

Non-neutralizing Mab epitope resides on VP3 or IBDV.

Recombinant baculovirus vaccines incorporating unmodified large segment A GLS proteins.

Current recombinant baculovirus vaccine incorporating modified chimeric large segment A GLS proteins.

A major difference in the unmodified and chimeric vaccines was the appearance of the classic B69 epitope in the chimeric GLS product. The level of the B69 epitope was arbitrarily set at 9 since no comparisons could be made to the unmodified GLS subunit vaccines. By comparing the status of Mab defined epitopes on the challenge viruses with the unmodified and chimeric GLS subunit vaccines (Table 3), it could be seen that while the chimeric product had expressed the B69 epitope found on the Classic STC and IM challenge viruses, that it also retained all of the homologous GLS epitopes.

Active-cross protection

Table 4 shows the results of a cross-protection trial and serological results obtained prior to challenge.

TABLE 4

Active cross-protection induced 2-weeks post immunization with baculovirus expressed chimeric I-7 IBDV antigens and associated prechallenge serology.

			Nu	Number Protected	þ	Mean VN Titer Log	iter Log	
Group No.	VaccinationA	Challenge ^B	AC-ELISA ^C	Histo ^D	BBWR ^D	D78	GLS	Mean ELISA
I	None	None	N/A	NA	NA	54	≥ 4	0
II	None	STC	9/0	0/10	0/10	5.4 1.4	54	0
III	None	IM	0/5	0/5 ^B	5/5 ⁸	54	₹ -	0
IV	None	E/Del	9/2	0/10	0/10	5.4 4.4	≥ 4	0
Δ	None	GLS-5	0/5	0/10	0/10	≥ 4	\$ \	0
VI	1-7	STC	5/5	10/10	10/10	107.7(1.8)	10.4(1.4) ^P	1235(312)F
VII	1-7	IM	5/2	10/10	10/10	10.0(1.4)	10.4(2.1)	1201(791)
VIII	I-7	E/Del	5/5	10/10	10/10	11.4(1.2)	10.6(1.9)	1089(409)
IX	1-1	GLS-5	5/5	10/10	10/10	11.0(1.5)	12.0(2.0)	1220(339)
×	1-7	None	5/5	NA	NA	9.9(1.4)	9.3(1.4)	1140(473)

 $^{\text{A}}\text{Vaccination}$ was given at 8-weeks of age.

^BChallenge virus was given by intraocular instillation 3-weeks post immunization or at 11-weeks of age for controls.

Protection was determined by AC-ELISA examination of 1/3 of each group 4-days post-challenge.

Protection was determined histologically and by bursa to body weight ratios at 8-days.

 $^{ ext{F}}$ Five chickens were scored as dead due to IM challenge prior to 8-days post-challenge.

One standard deviation.

Groups II - V served as challenge controls and as indicated by AC-ELISA, bursa to body weight and histological assessments, all non-vaccinated chickens were fully susceptible to virulent IBDV challenge with all strains used. The IM challenge produced lethal disease in one-third of the control group chicks. In contrast, 8-week old chickens comprising Groups VI - IX were vaccinated once with the GLS chimeric vaccine, and 3-weeks PI all vaccinated chickens were completely protected from challenge by all challenge viruses, including lethal disease produced in controls by the IM strain. Serologically, titers from reciprocal-cross VN tests conducted on prechallenge sera with the D78 and GLS tissue culture viruses were essentially within 2-fold of one another. Mean ELISA titers were relatively low, but were also uniform between the vaccinated groups.

Characterization of vaccines

In initial studies with Baculovirus expressed subunit GLS vaccines, after administration of two doses, the V-IBDV-7 GLS vaccine (Table 3) could only induce active antibody levels capable of providing 79% protection against homologous GLS challenge (Vakharia et al (1993) "J. Gen. Virol.", 74:1201-In a subsequent study, the antigenic mass of the original V-IBDV-7 vaccine was increased approximately 4-fold (calculated at the group specific Mab 8 site) and initiated one dose and two dose vaccination cross-challenge trials with the unmodified GLS subunit vaccine designated as V-IBDV-7-1 In those trials, two doses of the vaccine yielded (Table 3). complete cross-protection against virulent STC, E/DEL and GLS challenge. However, in the one vaccine dose trial, while complete protection was attained against challenge with variant E/DEL and GLS viruses, only 44% protection was achieved against the more distantly related Classic STC virus. Those studies could mean that simply by increasing the antigenic mass and/or doses of the vaccine that better crossprotection could be obtained. However, it was also evident in the absence of homologous vaccination that lower levels of

WO 95/26196

PCT/US95/03772

antibody, induced by one dose of the GLS V-1BDV-7-1 subunit vaccine, were not sufficiently cross-protective against Classic IBDV challenge. This could mean that in even lower levels of antibody, such as in cases of waning maternal antibody, that cross-protection would likely be even more reduced. Indeed, although not challenged with the STC virus, in some passive maternal antibody studies conducted using another dosage of the V-1BDV-7 vaccine, while homologous GLS protection was afforded, progeny of vaccinated hens were only 57% protected against a more closely related E/DEL challenge.

In a single-dose vaccination cross-challenge trial, the chimeric GLS I-7 vaccine, which incorporated the Classic B69 neutralization epitope, was evaluated. In order to make the current trial comparable to previous trials, the I-7 vaccine was formulated such that by AC-ELISA the relative antigenic mass of the I-7 chimeric subunit vaccine was nearly identical to the unmodified V-IBDV-7-1 vaccine previously used (Table Table 4 shows the results of the cross-challenge after a single dose of the I-7 vaccine was administered. Results were similar to those obtained with the unmodified V-IBDV-7-1 vaccine previously used in that protection against the GLS and E/DEL strains was complete. However, the I-7 vaccine yielded complete protection against pathogenic and lethal challenge by the Classic STC and IM strains respectively. Since the antigenic mass of the GLS and group common epitopes on V-IBDV-7 and I-7 vaccines were carefully equilibrated and equal, it is reasonable to conclude that the comparative increase in efficacy of the I-7 vaccine against challenge with Classic IBDV strains was due solely to the incorporation of the Classic IBDV B69 neutralization epitope in the GLS VP2 protein sequence.

VIRUS-LIKE PARTICLES

As noted above, the recombinant cDNA and immunogens expressed thereby, of this invention may be confined to the VP2 immunogenic region. In other words, it may be sufficient to prepare a cDNA clone encoding epitopic determinants for a

base IBDV, e.g., GLS, as well as a second IBDV epitopic determinant, such as D78. Other epitopic determinants, all in the VP2 epitopic determinant region may be incorporated, cloned and expressed as discussed above.

As reflected in Figure 2, virus-like particles are generated by the expression of DNA encoding the VP2-VP4-VP3 structural protein sequences. These virus-like particle immunogens can be separated from the corresponding VP2 only immunogens, both in terms of monoclonal antibody and by conventional separation measures, such as electrophoresis and chromatography. The difference in reactivity with monoclonal antibody strongly indicates, however, that epitopes present in the VP2-VP4-VP3 structural protein sequence-induced virus-like particles are present that are not present in immunogens expressed by the identical VP2 only region. These epitopes are "both linear and conformational" epitopes. Conformational epitopes differ from linear epitopes and are reflected in the conformation, not only in amino acid sequence of the actual virus. As a result, inoculation of poultry with a recombinant virus-like particle may provide even superior protection against field challenge from IBDV than inoculation with the immunogens of the VP2 region only. This is due to the spontaneous assembly of <u>all</u> the structural elements of the virus.

Applicants have discovered that the expression of the VP2 region as part of the VP2-VP4-VP3 structural protein single segment generates virus-like particles such as those of Figure 2. These particles have been demonstrated to react with antibodies which do not react similarly with the identical recombinant VP2 immunogen. Thus, the virus-like particles may give rise to higher antibody titers, and/or subtly different (broader) protection when a poultry host is inoculated therewith.

The invention herein therefore embraces (1) recombinant VP2 immunogens comprising epitopic determinants of at least two different IBDV strains and (2) virus-like particles of VP2-VP4-VP3 segments wherein the VP2 region again comprises

epitopic determinants of at least two different IBDV strain, as well as the nucleotide sequences encoding both 1 and 2, and vaccines embracing the same.

RECOMBINANT EPITOPIC DETERMINANT COMBINATIONS:

As reflected in the examples set forth above, genetic epitopic determinants for an IBDV strain can be inserted in the VP2 region of a different, base IBDV genetic sequence, and subsequently used to express an immunogen exhibiting epitopes for both IBDV. Indeed, the examples above demonstrate the combination of at least three different IBDV epitopic determinants. More can be combined. The resulting vaccine includes an active agent, the expressed immunogen, which provides challenge protection against a broad spectrum of IBDV, rather than prior art virus-based vaccines which give protection against a single strain, or a single family of strains.

Figure 7 reflects the amino acid identities for the epitopic determinant region for seven different IBDV. These are not intended to be limiting, but are representative. Desirable recombinant immunogens, both VP2 only and virus-like particle VP2-VP4-VP3 immunogens are made by substituting the genetic epitopic determinants for the varying amino acids at the identified locations in Figure 7 (locations not identified are conserved throughout the IBDV strains). This induces the expression of the inventive immunogens. Clearly, the possible combinations, while large in number, are limited, and may be investigated with routine skill. Representative combinations will tend to reflect combinations of epitopic determinants for dominant IBDV.

A E/Del/GLS recombinant may include changes in the E/Del epitopic determinant region at position 213, Asn-Asp, 253 Gln-His and 169 Thr Ser.

A DS326/D78 recombinant may include the amino acid, and corresponding nucleotide substitutions at 76Ser-Gly, 249 Lys-Gln, 253 Gln-His and 270 Ala-Thr substitutions.

Obviously, a wide variety of combinations are possible

and will occur to those of skill in the art. The epitopic determinant region, roughly including the region from amino acid 5-433 of the VP2 region, thus constitutes a recombinant "cassette" which may be tailored by site-specific mutagenesis to achieve amino acid insertion and/or deletion to provide desired recombinant cDNA clones, polypeptides, virus-like particles and vaccines with improved protection against a wide variety of IBDV.

LETHAL IBDV, MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY AND VACCINE THEREFORE

As noted, typically, IBDV infection creates an immunosuppressive condition, and is reflected in lesions in the bursa of Fabricius. This is typical of IBDV countered in the United States. There exist, however, lethal IBDV, that is, IBDV infections which results in chicken mortality directly as a result of IBDV infection. While vaccines have been developed on the basis of isolation of these IBDV, the resulting vaccines are "hot", that is, they themselves create or induce an immunosuppressive condition, and the inoculated chick must be bolstered with antibodies to other infectious agents. This method of protection is so undesirable as to have been discontinued in most commercial poultry houses in Europe. No adequate safe vaccine against the lethal IBDV is currently available.

The inventors have developed a monoclonal antibody, Mab 21, deposited under Budapest Treaty conditions at the American Type Culture Collection, Deposit Accession No. ATCC HB 11566. This monoclonal antibody is specific and neutralizing for lethal IBDV strains. The specificity is reflected in Table 5, which confirms that unlike other monoclonal antibody, Mab 21 is specific for an epitope exhibited only by IBDV strains having lethal potential.

		TABLE 5										-
Source	180V Strain	Coment	829	© 1	5	위	13 1	%	짇	<i>1</i> 9	27	읾
	Lethal Potential											
	±.		+	+	+	+	+	+	+			•
Sharma	¥I.		+	+	+	+	+	+	+			
USDA	STC		+	+	+	+	+	+	+			
Spafas	2512 (Winterfield)		+	+	+	+	+	+	+			
Edgar	Edgar	(vaccine (hot)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			
	Pathogenic Virus											
Sterwin	Bursa Vac	(vaccine hot)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			
	Vaccine Virus	•										
ASL	Univax-80	(ST 14)	+	+	+	+	+	+				
Select	Bursal Disease Vaccine	(Luk)	+	+	+	+	+	+		•		
Select		(STD + VAR)	+	+	+	+	+	+				
Key Vet	Bio-Burs 1	(078)	+	+	+	+	+	+		•		
Key Vet	Bio-Burs W	(Luk)	+	+	+	+	+	+			•	
Key Vet	Key-Burs	(078)	+	+	+	+	+	+	,		•	
MBL	Maryland	(Master seed)	+	+	+	+	+	+	•	,		
Sterwin	BVM	(Basendale	+	+	+	+	+	;				
Sterwin	1048-E		+	+	+	+	+	;	•		•	
Lukert	BVM	(Lab Strain)	+	+	+	+	+	'				
CEVA	Bursa Blend	(2512)	+	+	+	+	+	+	1			
InterVet	D78		+	+	+	+	+	+				
InterVet	Prime Vac		+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	
InterVet	8903		+	+	+		+			+		•
Solvay	Bursine	(Luk)	+	+	+	+	+	+				•
Solvay	Bursine II	(Luk+)	+	+	+	+	+	+				
	Lab Virus											
JKR	E/Del		+	+	+	ı	+	ı		+		
JKR	A/Del		+	+	+		+	ı		+		
KKR	D/Del		+	+	+	1	+	•		+		
DBS	GLS GLS		+	+	+	+					+	
DBS	DS326		+	+	٠	+		ı		•	+	+
*Skeels	226S	(Serotype II)	+	+	+	+	+	+				
НО			\downarrow	+	+	+	+	+	۱.		•	-

* Field Strains: All classic filed strains tested to date which were isolated in the U.S. have the 21 marker NOTE: 1. Lulert and STC are Edgar derivatives. 2. Univax is a Bursa Vac derivative. 3. Bursa Blend is a 2512 Winterfield derivative.

It should be noted that throughout this application, reference is made to a variety of monoclonal antibody which are used to confirm the presence of epitopes of different IBDV in the inventive recombinant chimeric immunogens of the application. These monoclonal antibody have also been deposited under Budapest Treaty conditions and are freely available. They are not, however, necessary for the practice of this invention, and do not constitute an aspect thereof. This should be contrasted with Mab 21.

Like other Mab developed by the inventors herein for IBDV, passive immunization against IBDV lethal strains, particularly designed to achieve immunization in a uniform, standardized level, and to augment any maternally derived levels against lethal IBDV field infection can be obtained by vaccinating one-day old chicks with a vaccine comprising a pharmacologically acceptable carrier such as those described above, in which is present an amount of Mab 21 effective to provide enhanced protection for the inoculated chicks.

The necessary level of protection can be conferred to by a single dose of the vaccine administered in ova or to a dayold chick having a Mab 21 concentration of between 1 microgram
and 1 milligram, or repeated vaccinations having a smaller
effective dose, but carried out over time. If repeated
vaccinations are used, the dosage levels should range between
1 microgram and 1 milligram. The concentration level needed
to vaccinate older chickens increases with the weight of the
bird and can be determined empirically.

Further investigation of the amino acid sequences of the lethal strains in the epitopic determinant region reflects the highly conserved 279 identity Asn at position 279 of VP2, in non-lethal strains, with a conserved Asp identity at the same position in lethal strains. Accordingly, the lethal strain epitopic determinant recognized by Mab 21, unique to the lethal strains, empirically differs from non-lethal IBDV by the substitution 279 Asp-Asn. According to the methods set forth above, a chimeric, recombinant immunogen conferring effective protection against lethal IBDV, something not


possible previously with <u>any</u> type of vaccine without inducing an immunosuppressive condition, may be prepared by inserting the genetic epitopic determinant for 279 Asp in a non-lethal base IBDV, such as GLS. This will confer protection against the base IBDV, the lethal IBDV, as well as all other IBDV whose genetic epitopic determinants are inserted. Vaccines prepared from these immunogens, whether VP2 only, or in the form of virus-like particles of VP2-VP-VP3 segments, are used in the same fashion as discussed above.

Claims:


- 1. A chimeric polypeptide immunogen comprising the VP2 amino acid sequence of a first infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) except for at least one amino acid X, wherein X is an epitopic determinant from a second IBDV strain.
- 2. The immunogen of Claim 1, wherein said VP2 amino acid sequence comprises a plurality of a different epitopic determinant X.
- 3. The immunogen of Claim 2, wherein said plurality of epitopic determinants X are from at least two different IBDV strains.
- 4. The immunogen of Claim 1, wherein said IBDV strains are selected from the group consisting of GLS, E/Del, D78, DS326, RS593, Cu-1, PBG98, 52/70, STC and 002-73.
- 5. The immunogen of Claim 1, wherein said immunogen comprises the amino acid sequence, in order, for IBDV structural proteins VP2-VP4-VP3.
- 6. The immunogen of Claim 5, wherein said immunogen is in the form of a virus-like particle.
- 7. The immunogen of Claim 6, wherein said immunogen exhibits at least one IBDV conformational epitope.
- 8. The immunogen of Claim 1, wherein said amino acid sequence includes an epitopic determinant X of a lethal IBDV strain.
- 9. The immunogen of Claim 8, wherein said epitopic determinant of lethal IBDV strains comprises the amino acid Asp at position 279 of the VP2 sequence.

- 10. A preparation sufficient to provide poultry inoculated therewith resistance to IBDV challenge from at least two different IBDV strains, comprising, as an active agent, an effective amount of the immunogen of any one of Claims 1-9, and a pharmacologically acceptable carrier.
- 11. An avirulent immunogen which confirms on poultry inoculated therewith protection against challenge from IBDV lethal strains, said immunogen comprising the VP2 amino acid sequence of an IBDV, wherein position 279 of said VP2 amino acid is Asp.
- 12. The immunogen of Claim 11, wherein said immunogen comprises, in order, amino acid sequences for VP2-VP4-VP3 IBDV structural proteins.
- 13. The immunogen of Claim 12, in the form of virus-like particles.
- 14. A monoclonal antibody which binds, under AC-ELISA conditions, to IBDV lethal strains, and has the epitope binding characteristics of the monoclonal antibody expressed by the cell line deposited under Accession No. ATCC HB 11566.
- 15. The monoclonal antibody of Claim 14, wherein said monoclonal antibody is obtained, directly or indirectly, from said cell line.
- 16. The monoclonal antibody of Claim 15, wherein said antibody is the antibody expressed by said cell line.
- 17. A preparation for conferring passive immunity in a poultry inoculated therewith against IBDV lethal strain challenge, comprising, as an effective agent, the monoclonal antibody of any one of Claims 14-16 in an effective amount, and
 - a physiologically acceptable carrier.

- 18. A chimeric cDNA which, when operably inserted as heterologous DNA in the DNA of an expression host, encodes the immunogen of any one of Claims 1-9.
- 19. A transfection vehicle for the infection of an expression host, comprising the cDNA of Claim 18 as operably connected in the DNA of *baculovirus* fowlpox virus, turkey herpes virus or adenovirus.
- 20. An expression vehicle for the expression of the immunogen of Claims 1-9, comprising an expression host selected from the group consisting of SF9 cells, chicken embryo fibroblast cells, chicken embryo kidney cells and vero cells transfected with the vehicle of Claim 19.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




FIG.2A




FIG.2B

10 20 30 40 50 60	M	DS326HH	D78		PBG98 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	3	4/38 HO		70 80 90 100 110 	SIVGA HYTLQSNGNY KFDQMLLTAQ NLPASYNYCR LVSRSLTVRS STLPGGVY							1	
	GLS	DS3. E/D	D78	Cu-			HO TE SHE	ET	(RUL		1 1	1	1	1 1	1 1	! !	1	1 1

	6/3 0 — E : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	8
	PFNLVIPTNE	TG - 360 A 361
	50 GLTTGTDNLM A-I A-I 	LVAYERVA
£ 3	40 	110 NYPGALRPVT
FIG. 3C	30 LIGFDGSA TT TT TT TT TT TT TT FTT	100
	20 LGAT	90
	SVGGELVF KTSVHSLV	BO EIVTSKSGGQ KL D
		70
	GLS DS326 E/Del D78 Cu-1 PBG98 52/70 STC 002-73	SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

	7/38	
09	KTVWPTREYT	L - 480
50	ILSERDRLGIHH	10 LA HAIGEGVDYL
3D	DPGAMNYTKL	TLFPPAAP
FIG.3D	KNLVTEYGRF	IRRIAVP
20	FEL I PNPELA	90 3 AFGFKDIIRA
10	SVVTVAGVSN F	70 80
SUBSTITUTE	GLS GLS DS326 E/De1 D78 Cu-1 PBG98 52/70 STC OD2-73 OH	70 DFREYFMEVA

											8	3/38											
	09	VVDGILASPĠ	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	T				009 - Iż	l I	l i	l i	1	ł	1 :	601	
	20	ANLFQVPQNP	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	M			0.	L QPPSQRGSFI								
3E	40	LAADKGYEVV	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1]] ; ; ; ; !		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				100 110	4F AVIEGVREDE				1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		•
FIG.3E	30	AASGRIRQLT	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1		! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1 1 1				AM TPKALNSKMF		!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				ì
	20	TARAASGKAR	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1			B			06 08	P VVITTVEDAM					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	10	LGDEAQAASG	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1			70 8	DC VLREGATLFP					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			,)
		တ	DS326	${ t E}/{ t Del}$	D78	Cu-1	PBG	B 52/70			HO		ET ((5) ILRGAHNLDC	i I I I I I	V V	VV	Λ	· \	· A	·	


	09	9/38	F - 720
	50	SKDPIPPIVG	110 AFD VNTGPNWATF
FIG. 3F	40	DDVWDDSIML	LKLAGPGRR
FIG	30	TGRDYTVVPI	TKLATAH
	20	YAPDGVLPLE	GEIEKISH
	10	RTLSGHRVYG	VAMTGALN
		GLS DS326 E/De1 D78 Cu-1 Cu-1 SE5/70 STC O02-73	70 DVFRPKVPIH

		10/38	
	09	AMEAAASVDPNNNNN	V - 840
	50	ETPELESAVR	0
36	40	HLAMAASEFK	110 AN APQAGSKSQR
FIG.3G	30	YLPPNAGRQY	0 1000
	20	WDRLPYLNLP	MANFALSD
	10	IKRFPHNPRD	WLEENGIV
		GLS DS326 E/De1 D78 Cu-1 PBG98 52/70 STC 002-73 OH	LFQSALSV

	09	MONTREIPDP-11/38 E	0 0 1 1 1 1
	50	RGPSPGQLKY V	INHGRGPNQ:
FIG.3H	40	TPEWVALNGH	FIDEVAKV
FIG	30	KMETMGIYFA	APGQAEP
	20	OREKDTRISK	1LRAATSI K
	10	EARGPTPEEA	EKSRLASE
		GLS DS326 E/Del D78 Cu-1 PBG98 52/70 STC 002-73 OH	70 NEDYLDYVHA

					12	/38	3			
		- 1012								
		田 -	1	I I	 	 	l í	1	i I	1
	50	PTORPPGRLG RWIRTVSDED	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		 	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
.31	40	PTQRPPGRLG	 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-8	
FIG.3	30	PPKPKPRPNA	K	LK	LK	LK	X	X		K
	20	EMKHRNPRRA		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- T	 	
	10	OMKDLLLTAM EMKHRNPRRA PPKPKPRPNA PTC	1 1 1 1 1 1	 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		GLS DS326	E/Del	D78	Cu-1	PBG98	52/70	STC	002-73	НО

FIG. 4



DE From cDNA clones pGLS-1 to pGLS-4.

Total number of bases is: 3230.
Analysis done on bases 114 to 3152.
Done on (absolute) phase(s): 3.


Using the Universal genetic

14/38 CCC GCG CTT CICGCA 110 GAA CGG TGG TTA GTA GAG ATC GGA CAA ACG ATC 160 ATA Ile TCC AGG ATG 100 150 GGG GAC AGG CCG TCA AGG CCT TGT CAG ATT Glu Ile CAA Gln 140 CAA ACC TGA CTG CAA GAT Gln Asp TAT CAT IGC Leu TCA CCC Asn ACA AAC CTA CAA Thr GGG GAG CLL9

FIG. 5B

15/38 TAC GCC His 380 Thr Thr GGG GAC 320 Leu Leu Leu CIC ACC Thr Val CAG ATG Gln MET GAC Asp GTG 260 Val Asp ACC TAC AAT TTG ACT Thr Tyr Asn Leu Thr CCT GGC TCA Gly Ser CCG GAC GAT Phe Asp 200 360 Pro Gly Phe Lys TAC GGA CCG GCG Gly Pro Ala 190 Pro AAC ACC TCG Thr Ser Asn Gly Asn 350 9999 Phe AAT Glu GAG ACC Phe 290 TTT180 AGC Ser ACA Thr GTC Ser TCA Val CAG Gln AGG Leu Leu Gly Leu Leu CIG

CGG AGT CTC Leu Ser 480 Arg AAC Ser CTA GTG AGT Leu Leu Val AGG A IGC Cys GTT Val 410 TACAsn GCC AGC TAC AAC Tyr400 Ser Leu 450 ACA AGC CCG Pro Ser 390 Leu Ser AGG 440 **SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**



Gln Asn CCA ATC ACA Pro Ile Thr Asp Gly Asp AGC Ser ACC (Thr GGG ACA Thr GGG $_{
m G1y}$ ACC CAG Thr Gln GGC G Glu AAA Lys Asp GAA 1130 ACC (Thr CAG CAT GGC TTT Gly Phe CTG ACG Leu Thr GAG ATA Glu Ile \mathtt{GGT} 1070 Val 960 $_{\rm Gly}$ AGT GGT GTG ACG GGG GGA GAG CTC Gly Gly Glu Leu 850 Ser Val Asn Gly CCA ACC AAC Pro Thr Asn Tyr Leu 1010 GTG ACC TCC AAA Val Thr Ser Lys Ile AAC Ala Ala Asn Leu 950 TTC AAT CTT GTG ATT Phe Asn Leu Val Ile ACC Thr GCA GLLVal ဥ္သည္ဌာ Ala ggg AGC Ser 890 AGA GCT GTG (Arg Ala Val A ATA ggc Gly CIC AGT Ser Leu CTG GAG Leu Glu CTG (AGC Ser GCA 1100 830 Ser ATC ACA Val Leu CCA Pro LysAAA ATG ATC Ile MET AGC ATC 1090 CAC Leu 980 Val 870 **SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

Leu AAC GAA Glu GGC ATG AAC Ala MET Asn GCC GAC Ala Asp CGG Ile Pro Asn Pro Thr Val ACA Thr 1190 ACA Val Ala CCA AAT ATA CCA GGA (Pro Gly) Lys GGC ATC AAG 1400 GAG GTG Glu Val Ile AAA GAC Lys Asp GAA AGA Glu Arg 1450 TTT GAC (Phe Asp 1 MET Asp CTG Glu Leu Leu gly 1340 TTCPhe TAC (Tyr (TAC TTC Phe GAG AGG GAC CGC CTT Glu Arg Asp Arg Leu GAG 1390 GGC Gly TyrACA GAA TAC GGC CGA Thr Glu Tyr Gly Arg GGG GTG AGC AAC TTC Gly Val Ser Asn Phe ACA CTA GTA GCC Thr Leu Val Ala 1280 GAG G Phe 1330 Ala CGI Phe Arg 1220 1380 GGA TTTACC GAC Thr Asp 1 AGT ATT GCA CGT CCC GTC Arg Pro Val Leu Ser 1430 1160 Ile CTG CTA GCA AAG AAC CTG GTT Leu Ala Lys Asn Leu Val ACG GTC GCT Thr Val Ala AGG GAG TAC Arg Glu Tyr AAG ATA Ile Lys 1370 1260 Leu TTG CCG ACA AGG GAG CCC CTG Pro Leu GGG GCC CTC Gly Ala Leu 1420 TAC ACA AAA T GTT 1310 Val Val 1200 GIC Pro Thr 1360 AGC ! CCA 1250 1140 SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

GGC GCC GIY Ala

Glu

Leu Arg

Cys

Asn

Ala His

Leu Arg

CTC GAC Leu Asp

GCA CAC AAC

CGC GGT

CIC

TTA AGA GAG

19/38

CAG AAT Gln GCC TCA (Ala Ser (GCG GCA CCC Glu Ala GTC GAG TCASer gaa Pro Ala 1780 Tyr Glu Val GAT CGA GCC GCG TCA GGA AAA GCA AGG GCT Arg Ala Ala Ser Gly Lys Ala Arg Ala Gly Asp GCC GCC GAC AAG GGG TAC GAG GTA 1670 1560 Pro ggTGGG ATT CTT Gly Ile Leu Glu Gly Val Asp Tyr Leu Leu TTC CTG 1610 Leu CTG Ala Ala Asp Lys Gly 1660 GTC GAC (Val Asp (GTA GAC TAC 1550 Ser 1600 CCC GTA Pro Val GTC 1760 Val Val 1650 GIG GAA GGT 1540 Pro Leu CICCCG Ala Arg Gln Asn CAG AAT 1700 1590 Gly GGG GCT ACT Gln Leu Thr ATA GCT GTG Ile Ala Val 1480 1750 CCC (Pro (Ala Ile GGA ACT Gly Thr CGC ATA AGG CAG CTG 1640 CAG GTG Val 1690 Gln GCT TCA Ile Arg AGG AGG CAT Ala His Ala Ser Arg Arg 1580 GCC TIC Phe GCT Leu Arg Len CTG 1520 1680

CCC AAA Pro Lys CCA GAT GGG GTA CTT CCA CTG GAG ACT GGG AGA GAC TAC ACC GTT GTC CCA ATA Pro Asp Gly Val Leu Pro Leu Glu Thr Gly Arg Asp Tyr Thr Val Val Pro Ile GCA CTA AAC GGA TAT GCT Gly Tyr Ala CTG TCC AAA GAC CCC ATA CCT CCT ATT Leu Ser Lys Asp Pro Ile Pro Pro Ile Ala Leu Asn CCT CCA 1 Pro Pro 8 1890 TTT CGA 2050 CCC AAA C Pro Lys A GTC ATT GAA G3C GTG CGA GAG GAC CTC CAA Val Ile Glu Gly Val Arg Glu Asp Leu Gln CAA AGA GGA TCC TTC ATA CGA ACT CTC TCC GGA CAC AGA GTC TAT Gln Arg Gly Ser Phe Ile Arg Thr Leu Ser Gly His Arg Val Tyr 1940 GTG ' 1990 ATG GAT (MET ASP 1 ACA 1380 ACG ACA GTG GAA GAC GCC ATG Thr Thr Val Glu Asp Ala MET 1930 GCT TAC A 2090 GAT GTC TGG GAC GAC AGC ATT ATG Asp Val Trp Asp Asp Ser Ile MET AGT GGA AAC CTA GCC ATA Ser Gly Asn Leu Ala Ile 2030 2080 1810 2020 GTC ATC Val Ile Phe Ala TTT GCT 1910 2070 AGC AAA ATG 1 Ser Lys MET 1 GTG GGA AAC Val Gly Asn GTG Val 1850 CCT Asp TTC2060

GAA GCA Leu Asn Lys Phe 2380 Glu Trp Ala Thr CICGly Leu CCC AAC TGG GCA ACG ATT 2160 ATG ATG MET Leu Ala MET GGC CTC CCC TFC TyrGlu CCC gaa Ala Leu Pro His Arg Leu G1y2210 CAC CGG CTT 2370 AGG Arg Cys Pro Asn IGI 2260 CCT CAC AAT CCA CGC GAC TGG GAC AGG Pro His Asn Pro Arg Asp Trp Asp Arg GCC GTC Val TAC CAC Tyr His GCT 2420 ACG GGA GCC CTC AAC GCT Thr Gly Ala Leu Asn Ala 2150 2310 Ala GAT GTA AAC ACC GGG Asp Val Asn Thr Gly CTC GCC ACC GCA Lys Leu Ala Thr Ala 2200 AGC Ser Gly Arg Gln GGA CGC CAG 2360 FIG.5H2250 CTC GAG Leu Glu 2410 2140 2300 2190 CTT CCA CCC AAT GCA Leu Pro Pro Asn Ala Glu GAA AAG 2350 CCT Pro TTT AGA AGC ACC Phe Arg Ser Thr TTTGly Ala Phe TTC CCT CAC AAT GCC ATG 2240 Ala MET 2400 2130 ACC Thr GCA 2290 GAG GGA Glu GIG Val 2180 2340 GGT CCC G Phe Lys CAT AAG His 2230 TTC \mathtt{TAC} AGC Ser CGT Arg Glu Phe GTC CCC ATC Val Pro Ile 2390 2280 GAG Lys AAA ATA ATC AAA CCA Pro GCTLys Ile Ile Leu Ser CTT 2330

GGC CCC ACA Thr Ser CIG Leu GCA CTC AGC GAC CCG AAC Asp Pro Asn Glu Gly Pro GAG CGA AAG Lys Arg TGG 2540 2700 MET (ATG (CAC CAA GCA GGT AGC Gln Ala Gly Ser MET ATG Gly Ser 2590 AAG AAG I Lys Lys N GAG GCC CGG Glu Ala Arg CTC AAT GGG Asn Gly Leu Ser 2750 TTC Val Phe 2480 2640 GIG 2530 2690 TCA Ser Leu AGT Ser Ala 2580 Pro GTA GCA CGG ATC AAA TAC GGG ACA GCA GGC TAC GGA GTG Lys Tyr Gly Thr Ala Gly Tyr Gly Val Val Ala GAC ATG GCC AAC TTC Asp MET Ala Asn Phe CCA Arg Ile GCA CTC Ala Leu 2740 2470 GCA AAC GCA Leu Ala Asn Ala Asp MET Ala Asn 2630 2520 $FIG.\,5I$ TGG Trp GAA AAA GAC ACA Glu Lys Asp Thr Phe Gln Ser 2680 Glu CCA GAA CAA 2570 2460 Pro CLL TTC 2620 ACA TTTPhe CIGATT GTG ACT Ile Val Thr Pro Leu 2510 2670 Ala CCA CAG AGG Gln Arg CGA AAC MET Arg Asn 2560 Phe GAC TTT2720 245 2610 GCA Ala TAC TyrATG Val GTA GAG AAT GGG Glu Asn Gly 2500 GCC Ala SSS GAA Pro Glu Glu His Arg AGT Ser 2660 2550 AGG (Arg GAA CAT ATG GGC GCA GCC Ala Ala 2710 2440 CAA ' Gln GCC: (MET Glu GAA 2600 2490 UTE SHEET (RULE 26)

GAG Glu Glu ATG GAG CCC AAC Pro Asn Ser CAG GCA Gln Ala AAC CAT 3080 CCG GAC Prc Asp Asn His 2970 CCA AAC CAA GAA CAG ATG AAA GAT CTG CTC TTG ACT GCG ATG pro Asn Gln Glu Gln MET I.ys Asp Leu Leu Leu Thr Ala MET CGG TTG GĆA Arg Leu Ala 2860 GAA ATC 1 Glu Ile 1 GGA G1y CCA AAG CCC AAG CCA AGA Arg Ile GAA ATA 3020 CCA (Pro (Pro Glu AAG AGC CGG 2800 Lys GGG GCT CGA Glu Lys Ser Glu Val Ala Lys Val Tyr Thr Arg 2960 TAT 2850 Pro GCC AAA GTC Asp Leu Leu 3010 ATC TAC (Ile Tyr (GAG Pro Lys AAC Gln Asn 2900 CAG GCA (2950 TCG Ser CCA Pro Glu Gln MET I.ys ATA GAC GAA GIT Tyr Leu Asp Tyr Val His CTA GAC TAC GTG CAT Tyr Trp 2840 3000 GCT CTA AGG GCA GCT ACG Leu Arg Ala Ala Thr 2890 CGC AAT CCC AGG CGG Ile Asp Arg Arg CAG CTA AAG Gln Leu Lys 3050 2780 2830 Pro $_{
m LLC}$ Pro Asn Gln Gln Ala Phe 2990 2880 GCT Arg Asn GGC Gly TAT Pro (ATC Ile CAA AGC CCC GAC Asn Glu Asp 2930 Gln CAA Ser Pro Arg Gly GAG CCA CCC CGT GGC 2980 AAG (Lys 1 AAC GAA Glu Pro 2870 2760

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (PROLE 26

FIG. 5K

************************ * TRANSLATION OF A NUCLEIC ACID SEQUENCE *

Done on DNA sequence EDEL22

E/DEL virus, vero cells adapted

Total number of bases is: 3180 ŊΕ

Analysis done on the complete sequence Done on (absolute) phase(s): 1.

Using the Universal genetic code

GAG TTA GTA TGG TGATAT CAT CTA CAA CGC TIC CIC GAA

CAC CAG ATT GTT His Gln Ile Val CAA ACC Gln Thr Asp Gln 7 CAA ACA AAC CTG Thr Asn Leu MET 909 GCA 9

Thr Leu CCG GAC GAC ACC Pro Asp Asp Thr Asp ' Asp TCC SCG GCG Pro Ala CCA ACA ACC GGA Pro Thr Thr Gly CTG ATG Leu MET 120 Leu CITAGC Ser

CGG

Arg

GGG AGT Arg Ser CTG 270 | | |GGT |GIY CAG ATG CTC Gln MET Leu GTG Val 320 CTA AAC Leu Asn GTG AGT Val Ser GTĞ GGC TCA Gly Ser ACT Thr CTA Leu Phe Asp GCA AGG Arg TAC AAT Tyr Asn Pro TAT 200 GGA TTC (AAG Lys IGC Cys GTT Val 360 ! TAC \mathtt{TAC} AAC Asn CCT Asn 190 GGG Gly TTC CCT GCC AGC TAC Ala Ser Tyr 350 GAG AGT Ser Phe 240 TTT TCA GTC Val CAG AGC Gln Ser AGC Ser ATT Ile Leu Pro Arg AGG 180 TCA CTG GGG CTA Gly Leu Leu CICTAC ACA Tyr Thr AGG ACT Asn AAC 390 GTA CAC Ser 170 Lys AAG His GCC 330 CIC GAG AC'T Thr 380

CTATAC 540 Leu GAT AAT TAT Tyr GTA (Val 1 AGC GIC Val CTT AAA ATG Lys MET GTT AAC Asn GAT ACA GAT Thr Asp GlyATT Ile CCA ACT 069 AAA Lys 470 | | | | | | TCA GAC Asp ATA Ile Leu GAA Glu AAC GAC ACA ACC Thr CTT Asn Asp 630 CCC Pro GGGAGC CTG AGT Ser Leu Ser 680 ATC Ile GTC . Val . ATA Ile | TTA Leu 570 CCC GCT Pro Ala AAC Asn AGC AGA Arg GGA Gly CCC Pro CTC GAC CCC ATA (Asp Pro Ile E AGG Arg ACC GTC Thr Val CAA Gln ACA Val 560 TTC (Phe (GAC Asp ATG TCT MET Ser GTA ACC Thr AGT GTG V GGG Gly GGT Gly AGC 099 550 GAA gcc Ala TTG GAC Asp Leu CTTATA AAC Ile Asn AGG Arg GGG Gly TGT Cys 009 Val

Leu

Asn

28/38

AAA Lys 810 CTG ACG GCC (Leu Thr Ala (ATA GGC TTT Ile Gly Phe GTG Val Leu CTG 750 GAG CTC (Glu Leu GAG Glu ACA Thr TAC CTT / Tyr Leu GGG Gly AAT Asn 960 i GCA AAC AAT (Ala Asn Asn (GGA G ACC Thr 740 | | GTA ACA Val Thr ATC ' Ile ' GTT GGG (Val Gly (006 ACC GTG ATT Val Ile GGG (AGC GCC Ala GCC Ala 840 CTG GGC Leu Gly GCT GTG Ala Val CIC Leu CTT TTC ÅAT Phe Asn CAA Gln 780 | AGT Ser AGA Arg ACA Thr AGC CTT GTA Ser Leu Val TAC AAC ATT GAT GCC ATC Asn Ile Asp Ala Ile CCA caĠ Gln ATG TCA GTA Val 930 CTT 710 | | | CAA TTC | | Gln Phe 9 GCG Ala AAT AGC Ser Ala Asn ACT Thr ACA 920 | | ATC \mathtt{TAC} GGG Gly

1080 GCA GGTPro GAC CCA CAG (Glu CAT His GAA AGA Glu Arg CTG Asp Glu Leu Asp Gly GAT GGT GAG TAC TTTPhe Thr GGC CGA CCC TTCPhe GTG Val 1230 Ser TCC AAA AGT 1120 Asn AAC \mathtt{GTG} Val Lys 1010 Leu GGG GTG AGC TAC Ser Ser Ser Leu 1170 CTA CTA Thr GAA Glu ACA ACC Thr GGG AGC Gly Val FIG. 6EACA Thr $_{
m G1y}$ CCC GIC 1110 Ile Val Pro Val AGT ACG GTC GCT Thr Val Ala GTT Val Ser 1160 CGTArg GCA AAG AAC CTG Ala Lys Asn Leu GAG Glu TCG GCA Ser Ala 1050 CTG GGA GCC CTC Gly Ala Leu 1100 TGG Trp GTT ATA Val 990 1150 GIC Val CAG ATG TCA Ser 1040 Leu Pro TCC MET Ser GAA CTA 1200 1090 Glu Gln TyrGGA TATATC ACA 980 AAC 'ASn ' CCL Pro GCA ACA Glu Ala Thr GAA 1140 1030 1190 AAT (GGC 1 Asn Pro

GAG GTG Glu Val GAT Gly Asp AAG Lys Pro Asp AAA GAG 1400 Lys TTCPhe CTG Leu ATG MET gga 1290 1450 Leu Leu Phe CTA TTGLeu CIITAC TTC Tyr Phe His SGC G1y Thr CAC GAC TAC 1500 1390 Asp TCT Ser GAG TTTPhe Asp GAC 1280 GGT GTA GAG AGG Glu Arg 1440 GTG GTC Phe Arg Val Val 1330 1490 FIG.6FGAC GAA Glu Asp GCA AGT Ile Leu Ser 1380 ATT IleACT Thr GGG GTA ATA CTG Gly Val 1430 Ala GCTAAG Lys TyrCAT GCA ATT His Ala Ile AGG GAG TAC Glu Leu CCC CTG Pro Leu ACG AAA Thr Lys Arg AGG AGG AAA Arg Arg 1260 Ser GCC Ala $_{
m LCL}$ Thr CCA ACA Pro ' ATA Ile Leu CTC AAC TyrLeu Asn TAC CCT CTA 1360 GCC Pro Trp AAC Asn 1250 MET CGG Arg ATG Asp GCC GAC GIC 1300 1460 300 300 ACC Iel

GAG GGT Glu Gly CCC AAA 1620 GAG GTA Glu Val 1780 Pro Arg AGG CTT Leu 1670 ACA Thr \mathtt{TAC} GGA AAA GCA Gly Lys Ala CTA AGA Leu Arg GGG ATG MET Gly GCC GCC GAC AAG GGG Ala Ala Asp Lys Gly 1610 TGC GTG C Cys Val Asp GAC GAC GCC Asp Ala 1770 1660 GTC (Val GCC GCG TCA Ser 1550 CCC GTA Pro Val GAA Glu CTT CGC GGT GCA CAC AAC CTC GAC Leu Arg Gly Ala His Asn Leu Asp 1600 ACA GTG Thr Val Ala 1760 FIG.6GLeu Gln Asn ACC GCT CGA Thr Ala Arg CIC CAG AAT 1650 ACG Thr ACT Thr GGA ACC GCT Gly Thr Ala 1700 CCC CTG Gln Leu 1590 CAG Gln Val CAG GTG 1640 GTG AGG Leu Arg TCA 1530 ATA Ile GCT TTCPhe CCT Ala 1580 GGC CGC G CTA TTC Leu Phe GGG ATA AAT CTA Asn Leu 1630 GCA CAG Ala Gln gcg Ala ACG Thr TCA CCC Ser Ser Pro 1680 1730 GCC , Ala ' CCC GAG Glu Val

GAT

ATG

TAC

GCT

ATA

CTA GCC Leu Ala

AAC Asn

GGA Gly

AGT

AAC

ATT GTG

CCT

Asn

Val

GGA G1y

32/38

Gln TAT 2050 CCC 7 TAC ACC (Tyr Thr GIC Val GAC (AGA Arg Lys Asp Glu Asp GAA GAC GGA CAC GAC 1880 GGG AGA Gly Arg TCC CGA Ser 2040 CTG GGC GTG Gly Val \mathbf{ICC} Ser CIC Leu ACT Thr MET ATT ATG 1870 CGA ACT (Arg Thr) GAG GAA Glu 2030 Arg TGG GAC GAC AGC Trp Asp Asp Ser GTC ATT Leu Pro Leu 1920 CCA CTG Val ATA TCC TTC GCT Ser Phe Ala 1860 GGG GTA TTT Phe GGA Gly GAT GTC AAA ATG Lys MET 1800 Asp Val CAA AĞA Gln Arg Asp GAT 1850 ATA GAT AGC Ala Pro Ser 2010 1900 GCT GCA CTG AAC $_{\rm ICI}$ Asn Ser Leu TAT CCA cca Pro 1950 2000 GGA Gly Val

CTT

CAC His

TAC

CGC CAG Arg Gln

GGA Gly

CTT CCA CCC AAT GCA Leu Pro Pro Asn Ala

TAC

CCA

TAC CTC AAC CTT

Asn Leu

Leu

33/38

CCC Pro GGC CIT Leu 2160 CAC CGG Leu GAC AGG CTC $_{
m LGL}$ GGG CCC AAC Gly Pro Asn Cys CCC AAC 2210 Asp Arg CTC AAC GCT Asn Ala 2100 TTC AGA AGC ACC AAG CTC GCC ACC GCA Phe Arg Ser Thr Lys Leu Ala Thr Ala 2150 GAC TGG Asp Trp Leu GTA AAC ACC Asn Thr 2310 2200 GGA GCC Gly Ala 2090 Val CCA CGC Asn Pro Arg 2250 GAT Asp ACG Thr FIG. 6I2300 GCC ATG A CCT CAC AAT GGA GCA TTC Gly Ala Phe 2190 2080 Pro His 2240 Val \mathtt{GTG} 2130 2290 CAT (His GCT GGT CCC Ala Gly Pro $_{
m TTC}$ Phe 2180 Ser AAA ATA AGC CGT CCC ATC Lys Arg 2070 2230 AAA Pro Glu Lys Ile ATC Ile Leu GTC Val TTG 2280 TTC GAG AAG Leu Lys Pro Lys CCC AAA 2060 Ile GAG ATT Ala Thr 2220 GCA ACG Glu Gly Arg

CGG Arg 2430 ${
m TTC}$ Ser 2590 GCA Ala AGA Arg GCA CTC Leu \mathtt{GTG} Val CCA CAA (Pro Gln / Ala GAG Ser AGT GT? 2530 TIC (Phe GTG GCA CTC Ala Leu 2420 GCC AAT Ala Asn AAC GCA Asn Ala TAC GGA Tyr Gly AGC Ser 2580 2470 Ser GAG Leu Glu ATG GGCLeu Ala CICĊAA Gln GCÀ 2520 2410 GAA Glu TTCPhe GAC Asp CTTGCA Ala 2570 ACA (Thr) ACC CCT (Thr Pro (Leu Phe CIGATT GTG GCT Ile Val Ala 2460 CCA (Pro] GGG GlyArg Asn CGA AAT GAG Glu Val Asp TAC TyrGTG GAC 2400 2560 Lys AAG ATG AAA Lys GAG AAT GGG Glu Asn Gly 2450 AAT Asn gaa Ala CGG His Arg Glu Phe 2340 2500 AGG GAG GCC Ala CAT 2390 GCA Ala GCC Ala TCASer CAA GAA Glu Gln 2550 AAC (Asn) TCG GCT GCA Ala Ala Ala CTGLeu Ser GCA 2330 Glu AAG Lys TGG Trp GAA GAC CCG Asp Pro 2490 2380 MET AGC Ser ATG MET ATG

AAG AAG Lys Lys ATC TCA Ser 2640 CGG Arg GAA AAA GAC ACA Glu Lys Asp Thr 2630 FIG. 6K Gln Arg CAG AGG GAG GAA GCA Glu Glu Ala 2610 Pro CCA GGC CCC ACA Gly Pro Thr 2700 CTC AAT GGG Leu Asn Gly GCA Val Ala 2690 TGG GTA (Trp Val CCA GAA Pro Glu 2680 ACA Thr TAC TTT GCA Tyr Phe Ala ATG GGC ATC TAC TTT MET Gly Ile Tyr Phe 2670 2660 ACC Thr I Glu GAG 2650 ATG MET

ATA Ile GAA Glu 2750 CGA (Arg ACA CAG AAC Gln Asn 2740 TGG Trp TAC 'Tyr' CAG CTA AAG Gln Leu Lys 2730 CCC GGC Pro Gly 2720 AGC Ser GGG CCA 2710 CGA CAC

TTG CGG ' GCA GAG AAG AGC Ala Glu Lys Ser Lys Ser TAC GTG CAT Tyr Val His 2790 GAG GAC TAT CTA GAC Glu Asp Tyr Leu Asp 2780 2770 CCG GAC CCA AAC Pro Asn Pro Asp 2760

CCA GGA Pro Gly 2860 GGG GCT TAC Tyr 2850 ATC ' TCG GCT ACG Ala Thr 2840 CTA AAG GCA Leu Lys Ala ATC Ile CAA GAA GAA 2820 Glu Glu \mathbf{TCA} Ser 2810 GCA Ala

CGC LysGCA Ala AAA 2970 AGG ACT ACC ACC CCC AAG CCA Lys Pro GAA Glu 3020 TIG Asp Leu Leu Leu TAT 2910 3070 GAC TGG Pro GIC AAA GAT CTG CTC Val 2960 TCT CCC Lys CGC Arg CCA CCA AAG Pro Lys 3120 3010 GCC 2900 ATG AAA G Pro GGG GLLLeu 3060 CGG CTG Val 2950 Arg CCC IGG GAA GCTGGT CAG Gln CGG GAC Arg Arg Ile Asp 3000 2890 GAA CCC AGG Glo ATA GAG TGA GGC CCC CCT Pro Pro 3050 Pro Phe AAC CAA TIC Asn Gln 2940 Asn AGA Arg Glu CGC AAC GCT 2990 Arg Gln CCICAG Pro CTTCAA Gln Leu 2880 3040 CGT GGC (Arg Gly) GAC Pro ACA Thr CCC Asp CAT Arg Glu Pro AAG Lys CCA Pro GAT GAG CCA 3090 2980 MET ATG Asp Ala Glu G1yGCT GAG GAG Glu GCA Asn TCT CAT His 3030 CCC AAT Ser 2920 3080 Pro . GIC CAG AAC Asn Val MET

GAT CCG FIG. 6M 3160 GGC GTG GAC ACC AAT 3150

FIG. 74

-				AMINO MINO		SE SE	NOES		AMINO ACID CHANGES IN VP2 VARIOUS IBDV STRAINS	Sign		STRAII	2					
VIRUSES						AM	NO A	CID R	AMINO ACID RESIDUE NUMBER IN VP2	NON.	BER	N VP.	2					
	5	74	9/	08	13	222	239	242	249	253 254 258 263 264	254	258	263	264	269	270	272	279
CLS	G	Leu	હું	≥	g	Thr	Ser	Vol	Thr Ser Vol Lys H	4is	Ser	Gy	Leu	<u>e</u>	Ser	Ala	<u>a</u>	Asn
SD326	8	2	2		2	Ser	2	•	2	든	=	2	2	=	Th.	•	=	E
E/DEL	=	£	*	F		Thr	2	2	æ`		=	=	=	=	8	2	2	2
078	=	2	Cl	R	Asp	Pro	2		Gl	His	G G	=	2	2	2	Thr	2	=
Cu-1	£	2	Ser			2	2		2	£	£	=	=	2	2	=	2	=
PBC98		2	=	=	2	£	2	2	Arg		=	=		=	E	2	2	2
52/70	=	2	2	=	R	=	2][e	U U	Gl	R	2		=	£	Ala	2	Asp
STC	=	=	2	Leu		2	2	Va Va	=	=	2	=	Phe	2	2	Th.	2	2
002-73	Ser	Met	2	lyr	2	2	Asn	a	n			Asn	Leu	Val	E.	a	Thr	Gly

					_					
	433	Ser	Asn	r	=	=	=	=	=	u
		Ser	2	2	£	æ	n	£	=	Asn
		Ser	=	2	Arg	Lys	Arg	Ser		11
	328	Ser		2	2	2	=	n	2	Leu
		Ser		2	2		Leu	Ser	=	ű
		Asp	=	음	Asp	=	*	£	=	"
	321		=	Ala		2	= .	=		e .
	320	GIn	Leu	믕		=	=	=	2	n
	318	Gly	=	Asp	ලි	2		=		33
	312	<u> </u>	2		=	£		£	Lys	Glu
9	311	Clu	Lys	<u> </u>	=	2	=	=	n	11
	305	≗	=	£	=			=		Val
		Asn	E	=	=	=	2	=	=	Ser
	279	Pro	2	=	2	Ser	Pro	2	2	
	286	교	£	<u> </u>	Thr	=	r		2	2
	284	빌	₩	R	Th.	2	2	Ald	£	2
	280	Asn	=	2	£	=	Th	Asn	2	2
VIRUSES	4	STO	SD326	E/0EL	078	Cu-1	PBC98	52/70	STC	002-73

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US95/03772

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER							
IPC(6) :Please See Extra Sheet.							
US CL: Please See Extra Sheet. According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC							
B. FIELDS SEARCHED							
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed	t by classification symbols)					
•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• 1				
0.3. : 4	424/159.1, 185.1, 186.1, 204.1; 435/320.1, 252.3;	530/350, 388.3, 397, 402, 403; 935/10	, 12				
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched				
			,				
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (na	me of data base and, where practicable	, search terms used)				
APS, ME	DLINE, EMBASE, CA, BIOSIS, CABA						
	TERMS: IBDV, INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE	E VIRUS, VP2, VP4, VP3, ANTIBOI	D?, ASP, ASPARTIC				
	ACCIN?, DNA						
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
x	JOURNAL OF GENERAL VIROLO	GY Volume 74 issued	1-8, 10-13, 18-				
	1993, V.N. Vakharia et al., "Infec		20				
Υ	Structural Proteins Expressed in a						
	Confer Protection in Chickens", pag		9				
	document.						
		`					
X	ARCHIVES OF VIROLOGY, Volume	e 120, issued 1991, C.D.	1-4				
	Bayliss et al., "A Recombinant Fow	vlpox Virus that Expresses					
Υ	the VP2 Antigen of Infectious	Disease Virus Induces	8, 10, 18-20				
	Protection Against Mortality Caus	sed by the Virus", pages					
	193-205, see entire document.						
	•						
			•				
	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C						
	ecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applica-	ation but cited to understand the				
	cument defining the general state of the art which is not considered be of particular relevance	principle or theory underlying the inv					
	lier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered.	red to involve an inventive step				
cite	cument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is ed to establish the publication date of another citation or other	when the document is taken alone					
spe	cial reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is				
	cument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	combined with one or more other suc being obvious to a person skilled in the					
	sument published prior to the international filing date but later than priority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent family					
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international sea	arch report				
08 JULY 1995		19 JUL 1995					
Name and n	nailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer					
Box PCT	ner of Patents and Trademarks	ANTHONY C. CAPUTA					
-	o, D.C. 20231 o. (703) 305-3230						
r acsulling IA	U, (103) 303+3430	Telephone No. (703) 308-0196					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US95/03772

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Y	JOURNAL OF GENERAL VIROLOGY, Volume 70, issued 1989, K.J. Fahey et al., " A Conformational Immunogen on VP-2 of Infectious Bursal Disease Virus that Induces Virus-Neutralizing Antibodies That Passively Protect Chickens", pages 1473-1481, see entire document.	14-17	
	*		
;	·		
·			
,			
		· ·	
		u.	
		·	
•	× ·		
	·		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US95/03772

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER: IPC (6):						
A61K 35/76, 39/12, 39/395; C07K 14/005, 16/08; C12N 1/21, 5/10, 15/33						
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER: US CL:						
424/159.1, 185.1, 186.1, 204.1; 435/320.1, 252.3; 530/350, 388.3, 397, 402, 403; 935/10, 12						
	(Y)					
•						
·						
*						
·						
	-16-					
	9					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet)(July 1992)★